Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,505	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Martin P. Endres.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2004	Time:	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	<i>,</i>	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		<i>,</i>
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	•	
•		
the last		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) file a terminal disclaimer over USPN 6,780,435; and 2)amend the transitional phrase "consists essentially of" or "consisting essentially of" to "consists of". Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment..